G R. S. IX-5]

Previous references

File No. 594-12

1912.

Serial Nos. 1-6.

(Inwai)

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-6.

Part B for April 18.

SUBJECT.

Continuance of certain allowance to Ravanniah Hatti in the Tumkur Taluk

---

To Rev. Ravanniah Siddharadhya

No. 2688. D. 17.4.18.

Declines to grant his request on the ground specified

No. 2689. Forwards Copy to D. C. Tumkur.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

D.R. Nagaraj
Bar. III D. 27.7.18

S. 11] 1912

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 594-12

FILE HEADING.

Continuance of certain monthly allowance to Revanniah Matt in the Tumkur Taluk.

From

The Private Secretary to H H The Maharaja.

No. 3880 Dated 10 4 1913 Received

Diary No. 1747	Enclos –	Spare Copies. –	Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Forwards for report memorial from Kalagnana Revana Siddharadhya of Allalasandra village, Tumkur Tq, praying for the continuance to his matt, of the monthly allowance said to have been granted under the Kowl of 1814.

NOTES AND ORDERS

Submit with a Dft memo forwarding the petition for report to the Dy Commr, together with an Encl.

SVC 132
21.4.13.

23/4/13

Abd
Rm
15/5/13

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12 8 11

neral and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 594 of 1912

Serial No.	
2	To the Dc Tumkur No 2131 & 19 5 1913 forward the petn for report
	Endr to Petr No 2132 & 19 5 1913
3.	From, the Dy. Commr, Tumkur Dc 10/1 Letter no. 116 Dt 4. 12. 1914 Reports that under the Roobkar 1814, Somiah was enjoying a Cash inam of 36 Pagodas, that after his death, his adopted son Revanaradhya was getting a monthly allowance of Rs 1-3-4 which was discontinued after the latter's death in Feby 1907, as it had been confirmed only during his life-time and that one Siddanaikah adopted son of Revanaradhya and nephew of the petr, prays for the revival of the grant. Submits for orders. Wis a point for Consideration whether the grant can be revived at this distance of time? MJ (93) 28.1.1918 29.1.18 Revenue

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12

1002

13

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 594 of 1912

Serial No.	
	Stipend was "for life" only and so his successor cannot raise the question of its continuance.
	Secy) Calls for an extract from the Inam Regr. 30/1/18. Rec. 30.1.18
	Submitted. Title a Dft for approval 31/1/18 31/1/18 Approved 31/1/18
4	RO: 188, dt 7.2.18, the Inam Supdt calls for an extract from the Inam register in respect of grant in question.
5.	From, the Inam Supdt Letter no 80 dt 18-2-1918 Submits an extract from the Inam register called for in Sl. 2. Attention is respectfully invited to the remarks in columns 16 and 17 of the Inam Register. Submitted AV 7.3.18 From the remarks it is seen that the grant was to cease with the death of Sowandiah's (original grantee

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

(4

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 594 of 1912.

Serial No.	
	Next heir who was Rosanna. He latter heir is not entitled to the grant. Secy) 8/3/18 Draft an endorsement Lea 16/3/18 46·3 cd Submd. with a dft. endt. accordingly A.G. 2.4.18. 3/4/18 Subd. with a draft endorsement for approval or other orders (Nil minute) Lea 5-4-cd Draft approved by the 1st Member 3615/9/4 9/4 Lea 11/4/18 11/4/cd
6	L. Rosana Siddaradja 2688. 17.4.18 Declining to grant his (sic) on the grounds specified. 2687 " 17.4.18 Copy to D.C. Jaffna

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2j

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 594 of 1912

Serial No.

Submitted with D.F.N for approval
17.5.18 17.5.18. Subd
Seen
18/5/18.

The fact that a report was called for by the Private Secretary to H.H. was not noticed in time. The office will be warned to be more careful in future. The papers are now submitted to H.H. for gracious perusal. A D.F.N is submitted for approval.
1st Member. 19.5.18

4219
23/5

(1) Who is responsible for not noticing this?
(2) I wish the Leg. Min. my personal thanks to whom reference is made.
(3) Put a slip reminder in case final is not received. Case not closed.
The officials concerned should be warned - draft appd

24/5

The remarks should be noted. Let me have the explanation of the officials concerned and the suggestions after Govt. Seen
30.5.18

Submit explanation separately.

Put up D.F.N.
1/6/18.

Fair final note signed by Chief Minister is put up.
25/5/18 27/5/18 31.5.18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

AD 1233—G.P.L.—60,000—1-12-16—S.

(6

R S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 594 of 1912.

Serial No.

Submitted with a D. S. N.

ans 1/6/18 Secy.

28. 4/6/18.

6461/76.8

Subd W Dewan Lee 6.6.18

D. F. N

As called for in memo no. 3880 dated 10th April 1913 of the Private Secretary to H H. The Maharaja, I beg to submit herewith the file of papers relating to the memorial of Kalagnana Revana Siddharadhya of Allalasandra Village, Tumkur Taluk, praying for the continuance to his matt, of the monthly allowance of 36 Pagodas granted under the firman of His ~~late~~ Highness The late Maharaja in 1814

~~The facts of the case are given at sufficient length in~~ Dy. Comm's letter no. 106 Dy 4th Dec 1913 ~~and the Office note in the file.~~

During the Inam Settlement of 1871, as it was found that the Sanad

D

gives in detail the facts of the case and an extract from the Inam register is also placed on the file.

clearly mentioned that the Inam was personal, & the grant was confirmed for two lives, being ~~in the~~ and was continued in full to the then Recipient Somiah, and after his death, a moiety ~~in half~~ to his adopted son Nevanaradhya ~~which was discontinued after~~ (the Collr's death in Fely. 96).

It would be that the original was treated as per the grantee and the rules after Inam settlement - the grant was confirmed for two lives. on the decision after last holder ~~the grant lapsed~~ Nevanaradhya, the grant lapsed

The memorialist ~~claims~~ prays for the revival to the Siddavanajah/his own nephew and adopted son of the grant on the ~~two lives~~ Nevanaradhya, ~~and~~ As there were no grounds for ~~there are no fresh grounds~~ ~~for re-opening~~ ~~on considering the decision~~ reopening the Conclusion arrived at the Inam Settlement of 1891, an ~~and~~ rejecting the prayer of the memorialist has been ~~sined~~ (No. 2688 dy 17.4.18).

The connected papers are submitted
to answer 17.5.18
for the previous perusal
of His Highness -
18/5/18.

Draft Final Note.

As called for in Memo No.3880 dated 10th April 1918 of the Private -- Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja, I beg to submit herewith the file of -- papers relating to the memorial of -- Kalagnana Revana Siddharadhya of Allalasandra village, Tumkur Taluk, praying for the continuance to his matt of the monthly allowance of 36 Pagodas granted under the nirup of His Highness the late Maharaja in 1814.

The Deputy Commissioner's letter No.106 Dated 4th December 1917 gives in detail the facts of the case and an extract from the Inam Register is also placed on the file.

It would be seen that the original grant was treated as personal to the -- grantee and under the rules of the -- Inam settlement- the grant was confirmed for two lives. On the decision of the last holder Revana Siddharadhya the grant lapsed.

The memorialist prays for the -- revival of the grant to one Siddaramjah his own nephew and the adopted son of Revanaradhya . As there were no -- grounds for reopening the conclusion arrived at the Inam Settlement of 1871, an endorsement rejecting the prayer of the memorialist has been issued.--

(No.2688 dated 17th April 1918).

The connected papers are submitted for the gracious perusal of His Highness.

9 4/5

Final Note by the First Member of Council

Subject:—

Question of continuance of certain monthly allowance to Revanniah Matt in the Tumkur Taluk.

As called for in Memo No.3880 dated 10th April 1913 of the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja, I beg to submit herewith the file of papers relating to the memorial of Kalagnana Revana Siddharadhya of Allalasandra Village, Tumkur Taluk, praying for the continuance to his matt of the monthly allowance of 36 Pagodas granted under the nirup of His Highness the late Maharaja in 1814.

The Deputy Commissioner's letter No.106 dated 4th December 1917 gives in detail the facts of the case and an extract from the Inam Register is also placed on the file.

It would be seen that the original grant was treated as personal to the grantee and under the rules of the Inam Settlement - the grant was confirmed for two lives. On the demise of the last holder Revana Siddharadhya the grant lapsed.

The memorialist prays for the revival of the grant to one Siddananjah his own nephew and the adopted son of Revanaradhya. As there were no grounds for reopening the conclusion arrived at the Inam Settlement of 1871, an endorsement rejecting the prayer of the memorialist has been issued. (No.2688 dated 17th Ap.1918) The connected papers are submitted for the gracious perusal of His Highness.

25th May 1918

First Member of Council.

Submitted to His Highness.

P

I submit herewith a Final Note by the First *Member of Council on the subject of* the continuance of certain monthly allowance to Revana Sidharadhya of Allalasandra village in the Tumkur Taluk *for His Highness' gracious* ~~approval~~ perusal.

Dewan.

12th June 1916

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Papers relating to the memorial of Kulagnana Revava Sidharadhya of Allalasandara village in the Tumkur taluk praying for the continuance of certain allowances to his Matt.

11.6.18

Order.

~~K R W~~

11/6/18

Seen

11.6.18

7/6/18

No. 3880
Exc 1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Mysore. 10th April 1913

1747

12 13

59472

1

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Department (Br: VII), Bangalore, for report

No. 1139 of 12-13

By Order,

Mirza M. Ismail
~~I.C.S.~~

For *Private Secretary*
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

GBPM—1,000—18 11-12.

1747

12 13

59472

2 Encls
No 2131 Gen. Dt 19.5.13
Min 5-94-12-2

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.....

The accompanying Petition Registered

No. 1747 dated 9.4.13

addressed to H H The Maharaja

~~received at the General and Revenue~~

Secretariat from by Kalagnana Rerasana Siddharadhya of Allalsandra Village, Tumkur Tq, praying for the Continuance to his Matt, of the monthly allowance said to have been granted under the Firmb of 1814,

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~,

to the Dy. Commr, Tumkur Dtd

..... for report

By Order

15/5/13

for Secy

Secretary

BANGALORE,

23/4/13

....191

P. T. O

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ..... ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

..... ಪೂರ್ವಜ

..... ಗ್ರಾಮವಲ್ಲಿರುವ

ಸಾ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ.

1747/9-4-13

ಮರುಮನವಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ..... ಬಗ್ಗೆ

..... ರವರು ಬರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

Secretary.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
VII
M'[illegible]
[illegible] 1917

DIARY No. 1002
19/12/18
SECTION Municipal
No. 594-12
3

From

16 DEC 1917

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

The Joint Secretary to Government,

Municipal Department, Bangalore.

Dated 4th December 1917.

Despatched

Received

General No. misc. 106 / Files 17-18

Enclosure No.

Reports on the petition of Veluswami Reventa Siddhaadhy of Allalasandra village, Pavagada taluk praying for the continuance of the monthly allowance said to have been granted to his ancestors under the firman of 1814.

Office of the Deputy Commissi

Tumkur District,

Dated Tumkur, the 4th December 191'

Revanaradhya for hi. life, [illegible] of Rs.1-3-4 per month till hi[illegible] afterwards. As will be seen from the [illegible] Inam statement received from the Deputy Co[illegible] District, the said Inam was allowed to Revan[illegible] life time, and has lapsed fully at his death.

3. The file of [illegible] ordi No.155 dated 14th October [illegible] received with [illegible]

I have [illegible]

Sir,

Your most obedient [illegible]

Assistant Commissioner,
for Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District
(absent from the station)

M./l.

[G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT Calls for an extract from the Inam Registers

Diary No. of letter replied to 1002

Section Inam
File No. C 71-12
Serial No. 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
, the 191 .

No. 1887

Date of issue 7-2-18

Signed by Mr. M. Sada Siva Rao

To

The Inam Supdt,
in Mysore,

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to forward an extract from the Inam register pertaining to the cash grant of Rs 14-8- under Title-deed no 22 D, 2nd August 1871, to one Revana Payable-at
sudhya from the Taluk Treasury

Receipt

over.

(22)

Treasury & Solicitor.

I have the
W.O.
31/1/16.
for joint Secretary
Admiralty. DCP IV
31/1/18

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WP 66 GPB 60,000 15 11 16

FEB 1918 No. 80
Enc. one

1917 19 18
SECTION Miscr
FILE No. 594-12
SERIAL No. 5

WD 067—GPB—200—20 4 16

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE.

20 FEB 1918

Dated Bangalore, 18th February 1918.

80

FROM

D. K. DARASHAH, ESQ., B.A.,
Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esqr. B.A.B.L.
Joint *Secretary to the Government of*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue *Department.*

SIR,

As requested in your letter No. 1887 in 594-12-4 dated 7 February 1918, I have the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the Cash grant of Rs 14-8-0 to Revanaradhya at Gowribidnoor.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant
D K Darashah
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Register Sheet No. 421

Taluk Kortigere

Hobli

Village Kortigere

Copy of the Register

General No.	Value of the Inam	Description of Inams. If for service, it is to be stated whether it is performed. If for buildings, tanks, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up	Tenure. Hereditary, unconditional for life only, or for two or more lives
1	2	3	4
	29-0-2 per annum paid from the Taluk Treasury at 2-6-8 per mensum.	For personal benefit.	For life.

village of Kortigere in the

When, and by whom and to whom granted	Name of the party registered in the Standard Muzrai or other recognized accounts
5	6
Granted by H. H. the Maharaja in 1814	Name in the Samvad Kalaguari Sama. 36 pagodas 3 Pags per mensum
	Nagad Lavajamah patty 1819. Somavaradiah 36 pagodas
	Same — 1829 Same — 10 pagodas
	Jasdeek patty 1830–1 Same — 10 pagodas per ~~mensum~~ annum.
	Tysalaty — 1861–2 Same 29–0–2 per annum
	Baravard — 1870 Same.

Taluk of Kortigere —— in the Dist

DESCRIPTIVE ROLL OF THE PRESENT RECIPIENT

Name. place of residence, and age	Caste or tribe, height and complexion	Indelible marks tending to the identification of the Inamdar
7	8	9
Alalasandra in Kortegere. —— Kalaguani Soma Somavaradeiah —— 98.	Lingayath Jungam. 5 ft 3 inches Dark complexion. ——	The right hand above the wrist is cut off

munkur of the Nandidrug Division

Relationship to the grantee or the registered holder	Particulars of the heirs of the party in col. 7	PARTICULARS OF THE COMMUTED LAND			
		Village and taluk	Description and No.	Extent	Assessment
10	11	12	13	14	15
Same as grantee.	1 Adopted Son.				

Recommendation of the Assistant	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
16	17

The Sanad is clear that the Inam is personal, and as there is no mention of the grant being hereditary. I conclude it is for life only—

The Stipendiary is a very old man, and says he was above 25 years old when Srirangapatam fell into the hand of the British He is, or was an amateur prophet, and it was during one of the manifestations of this unwelcome facult that Tippu Sultan ordered his hand to be Chopped off, as he luckily foretold the fall of the fortress

To be confirmed, during the life time of the party. Rs 29/- without fractions, per annum, to be discontinued after his death.

The grant amounted to more at first

16 Courts

did not fully Service the retrenchment, which were carried out during the part of H. H's reign. See accounts in 6/—

19.1.71.

(Signed) U.N. Narasimiengar
Assistant.

Review by the Sp
Confirmation by the Inam

When the Sanad does not make the hereditary, nor limit its duration to 1 life only, Inam may be confirmed for 2 ~~Cases~~ lives Rs.
Clause 4 Rule 7.

The recipient Seems to be a historical individual and Same consideration may be Shown to him.

Under the foregoing rule the Inam may confirmed to him and his next ~~Rs.~~ heir
Rs: 29-0-0 per annum. to be enjoyed in full by the party in colummy 7 and Rs 14-8-0 by his next & and the whole should lapse after the death of the latter.

21-7-71. (Sd) B. Gopaliah
Spl Assistant

Under Clause 4 of Rule 10 the party in col: 7 may be allowed to commute into land the assessment of which is equal to 1/3 of the Value of the Inam

(Sd) B Gopaliah
Spl assistant.

17 contd

I concur the grant is confirmed for two lives, in full to the present recipient and in half to his adopted Son or next heir after which it will lapse.

(Sd) Robert Cole.

2-8-71

Supdt of Inam Settlements

The Chief Commissioner having directed that all rajad Bhatamanyams should be treated as life grants, this payment will cease on the death of the present receipient.

Title-deed will be sent for and altered accordingly—

(Signed) Robert Cole.

9-4-80

Supdt of Inam Settlements.

The above has been Cancelled and the grant is confirmed for two lives as per

per Col: Cole's communication as Q

1871 Vide Government Pro: No: 2617-26
Cir 67

13th July 1888.

(Signed) J. P. Grant.
Superintendent
Inam Settlements

1-11-89.

"Copy"
Subhmat Rah
Superintendent Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Inam Supdt's office ——
Bangalore dy 18th February 1890

Compared. HS

268
M7594-12-6
d. 17.4.18

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]